

## Claims Examples

### “Nudge Letters” and offshore income and Gains enquiries

As part of an enquiry into a client’s Self-Assessment tax return, HMRC requested detailed information and a full analysis of all offshore holdings, including company shareholdings, share disposals, offshore income and gains and clarification of residency position. Following negotiations with HMRC, the enquiry was closed with no further tax due. Following the implementation of the Common Reporting Standard, HMRC now receive a substantial amount of information about UK taxpayers from overseas tax authorities. However, not all the information received by HMRC is correct or even related to unpaid UK duties. We robustly defended our client’s position with no tax settlement required. Accountancy fees of over £20,000 were incurred.

“HMRC are actively targeting the tax affairs of High Net Worth Individuals and have set up a dedicated (well trained and resourced) team of Inspectors to do so. HMRC will continue to focus their attentions on this area and have been proactively sending out so called” nudge letters” prompting a taxpayer to make a tax disclosure”

Graham Caddock  
Tax Risk & Disputes Director

### ATED enquiry into offshore company

HMRC opened an enquiry into an ATED rental relief claim made by a non-UK incorporated company owning a UK residential property. With substantial amounts of tax at stake, we successfully argued that although the property was not yet generating rental income, the delay was unavoidable as it was caused by factors “wholly outside the control or influence of the owner of the property”. HMRC backed down and no tax was paid in this instance. It is important that HMRC are told all the facts, background and circumstances of a case to ensure a clients position is fully defended. **Fees in this case, fully recovered, exceeded £5,000.**

### Group subject to a PAYE, CIS & VAT Compliance Visit

HMRC targeted a group of companies, opening full enquiries into each of the group member’s tax returns. This included the group Directors’ personal tax returns, as well as undertaking a PAYE, CIS and VAT Compliance Review. Significant accountancy fees were incurred providing the books and records, submitting further detailed information in respect of each of the entities under enquiry and attending long and detailed meetings with HMRC. On completion, it was concluded by HMRC that no significant adjustments were required. **Tax and accountancy fees exceeded £10,000.**

### Employer Compliance Review including IR35, expenses and benefits in kind

Following the review of the accounts of a large employer, HMRC undertook an in-depth PAYE enquiry that also questioned the validity of payments made to non-executive directors (NEDS), consultants and individuals’ personal service companies (PSC’s). Although all payments to the NED’s had been correctly recorded via the company’s payroll, HMRC wanted evidence to support the “gross” payments made to the PSC’s were made in accordance with the changes to the IR35 regime in April 2021. They had! HMRC requested all the books and records and then raised questions regarding expenses in relation to entertainment, staff lunches, parties and even the office cleaner. At the conclusion of the enquiry, HMRC identified approximately £12,000 of underpaid tax, due to overstated expenses (down to bookkeeping errors). However, HMRC accepted a negotiated settlement of £6,000. **Accountancy fees exceeded £20,000 and were paid in full by the Tax Investigation Service.**

## Property developer subject to a VAT Compliance Review

Following a VAT compliance review, it was determined that given that the client was making both exempt and VATable supplies, they should not have recovered 100% of input tax in previous years. We successfully disputed the assertion on the basis that the client was below the partial exemption minimum limit, avoiding a potential liability in excess of £20,000. **Accountancy fees of £3,500 were incurred.**

## Husband and wife subject to an HMRC Aspect Enquiry

A husband and wife with a portfolio of four properties each received an aspect enquiry notice under S9a TMA 1970. HMRC challenged the rise in expenses for one year. In addition, HMRC questioned the loan interest deduction, legal expenses in relation an evicted tenant and claims for travel and subsistence. All details were provided to HMRC who then challenged whether some the expenses should be treated as capital or revenue. After providing the necessary evidence including invoices, we were able to satisfy HMRC of the validity of each expense. **Accountancy fees of £3,500 were incurred.**

---

Paternoster House  
65 St Paul's Churchyard  
London EC4M 8AB  
T: +44 (0)20 7490 7766  
E: [enquiries@lubbockfine.co.uk](mailto:enquiries@lubbockfine.co.uk)

---

[lubbockfine.co.uk](http://lubbockfine.co.uk)

---



Member of Russell Bedford International – a  
global network of independent professional  
services firms.

---

Publication date: May 2023. Disclaimer: This material is published for the information of clients. It provides only an overview of the regulations in force at the date of publication, and no action should be taken without consulting the detailed legislation or seeking professional advice. Therefore, no responsibility for loss occasioned by any person acting or refraining from action as a result of the material can be accepted by the authors or the firm.

© 2023 Lubbock Fine. Lubbock Fine LLP is registered to carry on audit work in the UK and regulated for a range of investment business activities by the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England & Wales. Registered in England and Wales as a limited liability partnership (OC431004). A list of members' names is available for inspection at our registered address. Any reference to a 'partner' in relation to Lubbock Fine means a member of the LLP.